

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,405	02/27/2002	Hidefumi Adachi	381AS/50989	7380
75	90 11/17/2005		EXAM	INER
Crowell & Mo	ring LLP	TO, TUAN C		
The Evenson, M	lcKeown, Edwards & Len	ahan		
Intellectual Property Law Gr.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.			3663	
Washington, Do	C 20004-2595			

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/083,405	ADACHI, HIDEFUMI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tuan C. To	3663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	<u></u>	correspondence address			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06.5</u>	September 2005.				
,	s action is non-final.				
<i>,</i>					
closed in accordance with the practice under	•				
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,11-13,15-17 and 20-28</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8,11-13,15-17 and 20-28</u> are s	subject to restriction and/or election	n requirement.			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>27 February 2002</u> is/a		d to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).			
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	, , ,			

Application/Control Number: 10/083,405 Page 2

Art Unit: 3663

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Upon review of applicant's response dated 09/06/2005, it is noted that a restriction/election is warranted. Any inconvenience to applicant is regretted.

- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:
 - I. The embodiment of figure 4.
 - II. The embodiment of figure 5.
 - III. The embodiment of figure 6.
 - IV. The embodiment of figure 7.
 - V. The embodiment of figure 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

- 3. Upon election of species I, II, III, IV, or V, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims are generic):
 - A. Embodiment of figure 9A.
 - B. Embodiment of figure 9B.
- 4. Upon election of species 9A or 9B, the applicant is further required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect one of the following disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims

Application/Control Number: 10/083,405 Page 3

Art Unit: 3663

shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable (currently, no claims are generic):

- a. Embodiment of figure 10A.
- b. Embodiment of figure 10B.
- 5. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Conclusions

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan C To whose telephone number is (571) 272-6985. The examiner can normally be reached on from 8:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Keith can be reached on 571-272-6878.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner,

Tuan C To

November 08, 2005